

**Proof1.**

*For this problem, you may use Rudin 1.33 (the standard Triangle Inequality).*

Rudin 1.12

If  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  are complex, prove that

$$|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \dots + |z_n|.$$

This is a proof by induction on the variable  $n$ .

Starting with the base case  $n = 1$ . When  $n = 1$ , the inequality is  $|z_1| \leq |z_1|$ , which is true.

My inductive hypothesis is this true for  $n = k$ , such that  $|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_k| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \dots + |z_k|$

To prove  $n = k + 1$  is also true, I will use Rudin 1.33 (the standard Triangle Inequality). First I will take the left side of my inductive hypothesis, and extend the inside of the absolute value signs to  $n = k + 1$  by adding  $z_{k+1}$  to the inside and adding  $|z_{k+1}|$  to the outside to get  $|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_k + z_{k+1}|$  and  $|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_k| + |z_{k+1}|$ .  $|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_k + z_{k+1}| \leq |z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_k| + |z_{k+1}|$  because Rudin 1.33 states  $|z + w| \leq |z| + |w|$ , where  $z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_k = z$  and  $z_{k+1} = w$ . Now I will take my inductive hypothesis and just add the term  $|z_{k+1}|$  to both sides to get  $|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_k| + |z_{k+1}| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \dots + |z_k| + |z_{k+1}|$ . Since  $|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_k + z_{k+1}| \leq |z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_k| + |z_{k+1}|$  and  $|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_k| + |z_{k+1}| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \dots + |z_k| + |z_{k+1}|$ , by the transitive property,  $|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_k + z_{k+1}| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \dots + |z_k| + |z_{k+1}|$  showing my inductive hypothesis is true.

We can now conclude that if  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  are complex, then  $|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \dots + |z_n|$ .

**Proof 2.**

Rudin 1.12

If  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  are complex, prove that

$$|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \dots + |z_n|.$$

Recall that the absolute value of a given complex number  $z_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  can be found as the non-negative square root of the product of itself and its conjugate, written

$$|z_k| = \sqrt{z_k \overline{z_k}}.$$

We can square the lefthand side and distribute

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n|^2 &= (z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n)(\overline{z_1} + \overline{z_2} + \dots + \overline{z_n}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n z_i \overline{z_j}. \end{aligned}$$

For a given pair of complex numbers  $z_i$  and  $z_j$ ,  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , recall that  $z_i \overline{z_j}$  and  $\overline{z_i} z_j$  are conjugates. Thus,  $z_i \overline{z_j} + \overline{z_i} z_j = 2\operatorname{Re}(z_i \overline{z_j})$ . We can separate out the terms for which  $i \neq j$ , combine pairs by symmetry, and return to using absolute value notation

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \overline{z_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n z_i \overline{z_j} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \overline{z_i} + \sum_{i=1}^j \sum_{j=1}^n 2\operatorname{Re}(z_i \overline{z_j}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^j \sum_{j=1}^n 2\operatorname{Re}(z_i \overline{z_j}). \end{aligned}$$

The real part of a complex number is always less than or equal to the absolute value, which also includes the magnitude of the imaginary part. Hence, we can state

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^j \sum_{j=1}^n 2\operatorname{Re}(z_i \overline{z_j}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^j \sum_{j=1}^n 2|z_i \overline{z_j}| \end{aligned}$$

For a pair of complex number  $z_i$  and  $z_j$ , it is true that  $|z_i z_j| = |z_i| |z_j|$  and that  $|\overline{z}| = |z|$ . We can use these identities to rewrite the second sum, which allows us to factor the entire expression

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n|^2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^j \sum_{j=1}^n 2|z_i| |z_j| \\ &\leq (|z_1| + |z_2| + \dots + |z_n|)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the square root, we conclude that

$$|z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \dots + |z_n|.$$

**Proof 3.**

Rudin 1.12

If  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  are complex, prove that

$$|z_1 + z_2 + \cdots + z_n| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \cdots + |z_n|.$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ , the indices of the complex numbers  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ .

We begin with the base case  $n = 1$ . Since  $z_1 = z_1$ , it follows that  $|z_1| \leq |z_1|$ . Therefore, the statement is true for  $n = 1$ .

Proceeding with the inductive step, assume the statement is true for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is  $|z_1 + \cdots + z_n| \leq |z_1| + \cdots + |z_n|$ . We want to show that the statement also holds for  $n + 1$ . Consider

$$|z_1 + \cdots + z_n + z_{n+1}|$$

Letting  $S_n = z_1 + \cdots + z_n$ , the standard triangle inequality (Rudin 1.33 (e)) states that the following inequality is true:

$$|S_n + z_{n+1}| \leq |S_n| + |z_{n+1}|$$

We can apply the inductive hypothesis to the sum  $S_n$  as there are  $n$  complex numbers in the sum. Thus, by the inductive hypothesis,  $|S_n| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \cdots + |z_n|$ . Therefore, the following inequality is true about  $|S_n| + |z_{n+1}|$ :

$$|S_n| + |z_{n+1}| \leq |z_1| + \cdots + |z_n| + |z_{n+1}|$$

Since  $S_n = z_1 + \cdots + z_n$ , we have shown that  $|z_1 + \cdots + z_n + z_{n+1}| \leq |z_1| + \cdots + |z_n| + |z_{n+1}|$ , as desired. Thus, we have proven by induction that  $|z_1 + z_2 + \cdots + z_n| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \cdots + |z_n|$ .  $\square$

**Proof 4.**

Rudin 1.12

If  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  are complex, prove that

$$|z_1 + z_2 + \cdots + z_n| \leq |z_1| + |z_2| + \cdots + |z_n|.$$

The proof is by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , we have  $|z_1| \leq |z_1|$  which is trivially true. In the inductive case, let  $n = k + 1$  where the statement holds for  $k$  and let  $z = z_1 + \cdots + z_k$ . If we add  $|z_{k+1}|$  to both sides of the inductive hypothesis, we see that

$$|z| + |z_{k+1}| \leq |z_1| + \cdots + |z_k| + |z_{k+1}|.$$

From Theorem 1.33(e) in Rudin, we know that  $|z + z_{k+1}| \leq |z| + |z_{k+1}|$ . Thus, putting the two inequalities together, we have

$$|z + z_{k+1}| \leq |z| + |z_{k+1}| \leq |z_1| + \cdots + |z_k| + |z_{k+1}|,$$

so the statement holds by transitivity. Because the base case and inductive case hold, the statement is true for all  $n$ .